

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/521,288	FLASINSKI, STANISLAW	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ashwin Mehta	1638	

All Participants:

(1) Ashwin Mehta.

(2) Rudeina Baasiri.

Date of Interview: 1 September 2009

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

8

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claim 8 depends from 2 previous claims which are not referred to in the alternative. An Examiner's amendment was proposed to deleted reference to one of those claims, and approved by Applicant.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Ashwin Mehta/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1638

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)